arXiv:1301.6922v1 [hep-th] 29 Jan 2013

The Yang-Mills vacuum wave functional in three dimensions at weak coupling

Sebastian Krug and Antonio Pineda

Grup de Física Teòrica, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona,
E-08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain

(Dated: January 30, 2013)

Abstract

We compute the Yang-Mills vacuum wave functional in three dimensions at weak coupling with $\mathcal{O}(e^2)$ precision. We use two different methods to solve the Schroedinger functional equation. One of them generalizes to $\mathcal{O}(e^2)$ the method followed by Hatfield at $\mathcal{O}(e)$ [1]. The other uses the weak coupling version of the gauge invariant formulation of the Schroedinger equation and the ground state wave functional followed by Karabali, Nair, and Yelnikov [2]. We compare both results and discuss the differences between them.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Ef, 11.10.Kk, 12.38.-t

I. INTRODUCTION

The determination of the ground-state (or vacuum) wave functional of QCD, $\Psi[A]$, is tantamount to solving QCD, as any observable (for instance the static potential or the spectrum of the theory) can then be obtained by the computation of the expectation value of the appropriate operator. Even if the exact solution is not known, properly chosen trial functions may give valuable information of the vacuum using variational methods (see for instance [3]).

We are still far from obtaining the exact ground-state wave functional of QCD. Even obtaining approximated expressions is very complicated. This is also true in the weak coupling limit. One reason follows from demanding the wave functional, besides of satisfying the Schroedinger equation, to be gauge invariant. This constraint is imposed by the Gauss law, and makes that standard quantum mechanics perturbation theory can not be applied. A procedure to overcome this problem was devised in the case of SU(2), and to $\mathcal{O}(e)$ in the weak coupling expansion, in Ref. [1]. An alternative procedure has also been considered in Ref. [4] and worked out to the same order in e.

In this paper we are interested in the three dimensional version of QCD without light fermions (i.e. Yang-Mills theory or gluodynamics). The method outlined by Hatfield [1] can also be applied to the three dimensional case and a general group SU(N) without major modifications. We do so in sec. II and obtain the $\mathcal{O}(e)$ expression for a general group SU(N) in three dimensions. The result is in perfect agreement with the expression obtained by the expected replacements of the four dimensional result obtained in Ref. [1]. The results obtained with this method satisfy the Schroedinger equation by construction but not necessarily the Gauss law, though it can be explicitly shown that it does at $\mathcal{O}(e)$. We then compute the $\mathcal{O}(e^2)$ wave functional in what is a completely new result. Again, this result satisfies the Schroedinger equation by construction but at this order it's not possible to explicitly check the Gauss law, due to the complexity of the resulting expressions. The resulting wave functional is explicitly real (as expected for the ground-state functional) and we name it $\Psi_{GL}[\vec{A}]$.

The fact that gauge invariance can not be guaranteed in general is one important drawback of the previous method. The reason is that the Gauss law is only implemented partially for some terms in some intermediate expressions. Moreover, even this partial implementation of the Gauss law is difficult to automatize, as at each order it has to be tailored somewhat.

One solution to the previous problem would be to reformulate the Schroedinger equation in terms of gauge invariant variables. One such formulation was originally worked out in Refs. [5–9] (for some introductory notes see [10]) and, more recently, in Ref. [2], where a modified approximation scheme was devised. The authors use a change of field variables, which become complex, to simplify the problem. Even though the original motivation of those works was to understand the strong coupling limit (the opposite limit we are considering in this paper) and confinement in three dimensions, it is not difficult to see that the approximation scheme worked out in Ref. [2] could be easily reformulated to provide with a systematic expansion of the weak coupling limit. We do so in sec. III of this paper and compute the ground-state wave functional to $\mathcal{O}(e^2)$. The vacuum wave functional is a function of the gauge invariant variables J^a, which we then transform to the original gauge variables $\vec{A}^a$. The resulting expression is gauge invariant by construction and also satisfies the Schroedinger equation by construction. We name it $\Psi_{GI}[\vec{A}] \equiv \Psi_{GI}[J(\vec{A})]$. Nevertheless, the explicit expression has the very unpleasant feature of having a non-trivial imaginary term.

We have then obtained two different expressions for the wave functionals: $\Psi_{GL}[\vec{A}]$ and $\Psi_{GI}[\vec{A}]$, which actually look completely different. We compare them in sec. IV. At $\mathcal{O}(e)$ it is possible to show after several manipulations and using the symmetries of the integrals that both of them are equal (so at this order they are real and gauge invariant). Such brute force approach happens to be unfeasible at $\mathcal{O}(e^2)$ due to the complexity of the expressions. We need an organizing principle for the comparison. The approach we follow is to rewrite $\Psi_{GL}[\vec{A}]$ in terms of the gauge invariant variable J^a and a gauge dependent field θ^a. All θ^a dependent terms should vanish if $\Psi_{GL}[\vec{A}]$ is going to satisfy the Gauss law and we explicitly show that this happens. This means that both $\Psi_{GL}[\vec{A}]$ and $\Psi_{GI}[\vec{A}]$ are gauge invariant. We would then say that both should be equal, since both satisfy the Schroedinger equation. We actually find (after a rather lengthy computation) that both are almost but not completely equal. The difference is proportional to a bilinear real term. This is puzzling but there is a reason behind it: $\Psi_{GL}[\vec{A}]$ and $\Psi_{GI}[\vec{A}]$ satisfy "different" Schroedinger equations. $\Psi_{GL}[\vec{A}]$ was obtained using the unregulated Schroedinger equation, whereas $\Psi_{GI}[\vec{A}]$ was obtained after the Schroedinger equation in terms of J^a variables was regularized. In this last case, regularization produces an extra term in the Schroedinger equation, producing in turn an extra term in the wave functional. Irrespectively of the above this comparison allows to rewrite $\Psi_{GI}[\vec{A}]$ in an explicitly real form. This is by far non-trivial, as the initial $\Psi_{GI}[J]$ was explicitly complex and dependent on complex variables. In particular there is a delicate cancellation between terms such that, after transforming this expression back to real variables, the wave function becomes real (actually in our comparison we work the other way around and transform $\Psi_{GL}[\vec{A}]$, which is real, in terms of the complex variables). This is an important test of several parts of the computation done in Ref. [2].

We believe that the weak coupling reformulation of the approach followed in Ref. [2] can be helpful to understand the meaning of the partial resummations performed in the approximation scheme used in this reference, though we do not explore this issue in this paper. Our $\mathcal{O}(e)$ or $\mathcal{O}(e^2)$ wave functional can also be used to test different trial functionals that one can find in the literature claiming to have the proper weak and strong coupling limit. Typically they reproduce the leading order weak coupling expansion but not the $\mathcal{O}(e)$ corrections. This is certainly the case with covariantization approaches where the exponent of the wave functional is approximated by a bilinear term in the B fields (see for instance [11, 12]). Therefore, our results can hint to how those trial functions could be improved to correctly incorporate corrections in the weak coupling limit.

II. DETERMINATION OF $\Psi_{GL}[\vec{A}]$

The Yang-Mills Lagrangian reads

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} G^{\mu\nu,a} G^a_{\mu\nu} \,, \tag{1}$$

where

$$G^a_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\nu A^a_\mu + e f^{abc} A^b_\mu A^c_\nu \,, \tag{2}$$

$eG_{\mu\nu} = [D_\mu, D_\nu]$, $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + eA_\mu$, $A_\mu = -iT^a A^a_\mu$, $G^{\mu\nu} = -iT^a G^{\mu\nu}_a$, $T^a = \lambda^a/2$, λ^a are the Gell-Mann matrices, and $[T^a, T^b] = i f^{abc} T^c$.

We will work in the Hamiltonian formalism and partially fix the gauge to $A_0 = 0$. Therefore we work with the $\vec{A} = (A_1, A_2)$ components only and

$$\vec{D} = \vec{\nabla} + e\vec{A}\,, \tag{3}$$

$$B^a = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{jk}(\partial_j A_k - \partial_k A_j + e[A_j, A_k])^a = \vec{\nabla}\times\vec{A}^a + \frac{e}{2}f^{abc}\vec{A}^b\times\vec{A}^c\,, \tag{4}$$

where $\vec{A}\times\vec{B} \equiv \epsilon_{ij}A_iB_j$, $\vec{\nabla}_i \equiv \partial_i = \partial/\partial x^i$ (for simplicity, we use the metric $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{diag}(-1,+1,+1)$, so there is no sign difference between upper and lower spatial indices), and $B = -iT^aB^a$.

In Ref. [1] the wave functional was computed to $\mathcal{O}(e)$ at weak coupling. It is possible to generalize the method used in this reference. We do so here and compute the ground state wave function to $\mathcal{O}(e^2)$. The ground state wave functional has to satisfy the Schroedinger equation[1] [2]:

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_x\left(-\frac{\delta}{\delta\vec{A}^a(\vec{x})}\cdot\frac{\delta}{\delta\vec{A}^a(\vec{x})} + B^a(\vec{x})B^a(\vec{x})\right)\Psi_{GL}[A] = E\Psi_{GL}[A]\,, \tag{5}$$

and the Gauss law constraint

$$(\vec{D}\cdot\vec{E})^a\Psi_{GL}[A] = \left(\vec{\nabla}\cdot\frac{\delta}{\delta\vec{A}_a} + ef^{abc}\vec{A}_b\cdot\frac{\delta}{\delta\vec{A}_c}\right)\Psi_{GL}[A] = 0\,. \tag{6}$$

Because we are talking of the ground state we expect the wave function to be real and to have zero nodes. Therefore it can be written as the exponential of a functional F[A], which does not diverge for finite A:

$$\Psi_{GL}[A] = e^{-F_{GL}[A]} = e^{-F_{GL}^{(0)}[A]-eF_{GL}^{(1)}[A]-e^2F_{GL}^{(2)}[A]+\mathcal{O}(e^3)}\,. \tag{7}$$

This particularly simplifies the Gauss law, which then can be written as

$$\left(\vec{\nabla}\cdot\frac{\delta}{\delta\vec{A}_a} + ef^{abc}\vec{A}_b\cdot\frac{\delta}{\delta\vec{A}_c}\right)F_{GL}[A] = 0\,. \tag{8}$$

---

[1] In the following we use the notation ($d=2$): $\int_x \equiv \int d^dx$, $\int_{\not k} \equiv \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^d}$, $\not\delta(\vec{k}) \equiv (2\pi)^d\delta^{(d)}(\vec{k})$, and so on.

[2] Note that the ground state energy can be normalized to zero by moving it to the left-hand side of the equation and absorbing it in the B^2 term as a counterterm.

A. Order e^0

$F_{GL}^{(0)}$ can be obtained in several ways. It is equivalent to solving the Schroedinger equation of the free theory with the free Gauss law, in other words, N^2-1 replicas of QED without light fermions. In order to solve these equations it is convenient to rewrite them in momentum space using:

$$\vec{A}(x) = \int_{\not k} \vec{A}(k) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}\,, \qquad \frac{\delta}{\delta \vec{A}^a(\vec{x})} = \int_{\not k} \frac{\delta}{\delta \vec{A}^a(\vec{k})} e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}\,. \tag{9}$$

We then have for the free-field Schroedinger equation

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\not k}\left(-\frac{\delta}{\delta \vec{A}^a(\vec{k})}\cdot\frac{\delta}{\delta \vec{A}^a(-\vec{k})} + (\vec{k}\times\vec{A}^a(\vec{k}))(\vec{k}\times\vec{A}^a(-\vec{k}))\right)\Psi_{GL}^{(0)}[A] = E^{(0)}\Psi_{GL}^{(0)}[A]\,, \tag{10}$$

giving the following equation for the leading order term of the wave functional exponent

$$\int_{\not k}\frac{\delta F_{GL}^{(0)}[A]}{\delta \vec{A}^a(\vec{k})}\cdot\frac{\delta F_{GL}^{(0)}[A]}{\delta \vec{A}^a(-\vec{k})} = \int_{\not k}(\vec{k}\times\vec{A}^a(\vec{k}))(\vec{k}\times\vec{A}^a(-\vec{k}))\,. \tag{11}$$

The free-field Gauss law reads:

$$\vec{k}\cdot\frac{\delta F_{GL}^{(0)}[A]}{\delta \vec{A}^a(\vec{k})} = 0\,. \tag{12}$$

Eq. (11) suggests $F_{GL}^{(0)}$ to be quadratic in $\vec{A}$:

$$F_{GL}^{(0)}[A] = \int_{\not k} A_i^a(\vec{k})A_j^a(-\vec{k})g_{ij}(\vec{k})\,. \tag{13}$$

The tensor structure of $g_{ij}(\vec{k})$ can be fixed by the free-field Gauss law, Eq. (12), which demands that only the transverse component should show up. Therefore

$$g_{ij}(\vec{k}) = g(\vec{k})\mathcal{P}_{ij}(\hat{k})\,, \tag{14}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - k_i k_k/\vec{k}^2$ is the projector to the transverse component. We can now solve Eq. (11) and determine $g(\vec{k})$. As the equation is quadratic there are two solutions, of which we take the one that leads to a normalizable wave functional, which is

$$F^{(0)}_{GL}[A] = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\not{k}} \frac{1}{E_k}(\vec{k}\times\vec{A}^a(\vec{k}))(\vec{k}\times\vec{A}^a(-\vec{k}))\,, \tag{15}$$

where $E_k \equiv |\vec{k}|$. A detailed explanation of this derivation can be found in sec. 10.2 (see also sec. 11.2) of [13]. One can see how the implementation of the Gauss law is not trivial even in the free-field case.

B. Order e

At $\mathcal{O}(e)$ the Schroedinger equation splits into two equations (organized by powers of $\vec{A}$):

$$\int_{\not{k}} \frac{\delta F^{(0)}_{GL}[A]}{\delta \vec{A}^a(-\vec{k})}\cdot\frac{\delta F^{(1)}_{GL}[A]}{\delta \vec{A}^a(\vec{k})} = \frac{i}{2}f^{abc}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{k}_3} \not{\delta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3}\vec{k}_i\right)(\vec{k}_1\times\vec{A}^a(\vec{k}_1))(\vec{A}^b(\vec{k}_2)\times\vec{A}^c(\vec{k}_3))\,, \tag{16}$$

$$\int_{\not{k}} \frac{\delta^2 F^{(1)}_{GL}[A]}{\delta\vec{A}^a(-\vec{k})\delta\vec{A}^a(\vec{k})} = 0\,, \tag{17}$$

and the Gauss law constraint reads[3]

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{k}\cdot\frac{\delta F^{(1)}_{GL}[A]}{\delta\vec{A}^a(\vec{k})} &= -if^{abc}\int_{\not{p}_1,\not{p}_2}\vec{A}^b(\vec{p}_1)\cdot\frac{\delta F^{(0)}_{GL}[A]}{\delta\vec{A}^a(\vec{p}_2)}\not{\delta}(\vec{p}_1-\vec{p}_2+\vec{k})\\ &= -if^{abc}\int_{\not{p}}\frac{1}{|\vec{p}|}(\vec{p}\times\vec{A}^b(-\vec{k}-\vec{p}))\left(\vec{p}\times\vec{A}^c(\vec{p})\right)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Using Eq.(15) the left-hand-side of Eq. (16) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\not{p}}\frac{1}{|\vec{p}|}(\vec{p}\times\vec{A}^a(\vec{p}))\left(\vec{p}\times\frac{\delta F^{(1)}_{GL}[A]}{\delta\vec{A}^a(\vec{p})}\right)\\ &\quad=\int_{\not{p}}\frac{1}{|\vec{p}|}\left\{\vec{p}^2\left(\vec{A}^a(\vec{p})\cdot\frac{\delta F^{(1)}_{GL}[A]}{\delta\vec{A}^a(\vec{p})}\right)-\left(\vec{p}\cdot\vec{A}^a(\vec{p})\right)\left(\vec{p}\cdot\frac{\delta F^{(1)}_{GL}[A]}{\delta\vec{A}^a(\vec{p})}\right)\right\}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where the second term of the right-hand-side is known because of the Gauss law.

We are now in the position to obtain $F^{(1)}$: We profit from the fact that the kernel can be taken to be completely symmetric[4] under the interchange of any two fields A_{i,a_i,x_i}, A_{j,a_j,x_j}. Therefore, the density of $\int_{\not p} |\vec{p}| \left(\vec{A}^a(\vec{p}) \cdot \frac{\delta F^{(1)}_{GL}[A]}{\delta \vec{A}^a(\vec{p})} \right)$ can be related with the density of $F^{(1)}_{GL}[A]$. More specifically, if for a functional $F\left[\vec{A}^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1), \ldots, \vec{A}^{a_n}(\vec{k}_n)\right]$ of n fields we have

---

[3] Note that in $d=2$: $\vec{A}^c(-\vec{k}-\vec{p})\cdot\left(\vec{p}\times\left(\vec{p}\times\vec{A}^b(\vec{p})\right)\right) = -\left(\vec{p}\times\vec{A}^b(\vec{p})\right)(\vec{p}\times\vec{A}^c(-\vec{k}-\vec{p}))$.
Other useful relations are $(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{A})(\vec{k}\times\vec{B})-(\vec{k}\times\vec{A})(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{B}) = \vec{k}^2(\vec{A}\times\vec{B})$ and $\epsilon_{ij}\epsilon_{kl} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}-\delta_{il}\delta_{jk}$.

$$\int_{\not p} |\vec{p}| \left(\vec{A}^a(\vec{p}) \cdot \frac{\delta F[A]}{\delta \vec{A}^a(\vec{p})} \right) = \int_{\not k_1,\ldots,\not k_n} D\left[\vec{A}^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1), \ldots, \vec{A}^{a_n}(\vec{k}_n)\right] , \tag{20}$$

then

$$F[A] = \int_{\not k_1,\ldots,\not k_n} \frac{1}{|\vec{k}_1| + \ldots + |\vec{k}_n|} D\left[\vec{A}^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1), \ldots, \vec{A}^{a_n}(\vec{k}_n)\right] . \tag{21}$$

With this we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F^{(1)}_{GL}[A] = i f^{abc} \int_{\not k_1,\not k_2,\not k_3} \not\delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} \vec{k}_i\right) \Bigg\{ & \frac{1}{2(\sum_i^3 |\vec{k}_i|)} (\vec{k}_1 \times \vec{A}^a(\vec{k}_1))(\vec{A}^b(\vec{k}_2) \times \vec{A}^c(\vec{k}_3)) \\ & - \frac{1}{(\sum_i^3 |\vec{k}_i|)|\vec{k}_1||\vec{k}_3|} (\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{A}^a(\vec{k}_1))(\vec{k}_3 \times \vec{A}^b(\vec{k}_2))(\vec{k}_3 \times \vec{A}^c(\vec{k}_3)) \Bigg\} , \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

which is the three dimensional version of Hatfield's result (except for a different sign convention for e).

C. Order e^2

At $\mathcal{O}(e^2)$ the Schroedinger equation leads to the following equality

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_x \left(\frac{\delta^2 F^{(2)}_{GL}}{(\delta A^a_i)^2} - \frac{\delta F^{(1)}_{GL}}{(\delta A^a_i)} \frac{\delta F^{(1)}_{GL}}{(\delta A^a_i)} - 2 \frac{\delta F^{(0)}_{GL}}{(\delta A^a_i)} \frac{\delta F^{(2)}_{GL}}{(\delta A^a_i)} + \frac{1}{4} f^{abc} f^{ade} (\vec{A}^b \times \vec{A}^c)(\vec{A}^d \times \vec{A}^e) \right) = 0 . \tag{23}$$

At this order $F^{(2)}_{GL}$ can have contributions from four, two and zero fields (there are no contributions with three or one field): $F^{(2)}_{GL} = F^{(2,4)}_{GL} + F^{(2,2)}_{GL} + F^{(2,0)}_{GL}$. There is no need to compute $F^{(2,0)}_{GL}$, as it just changes the normalization of the state, which we do not fix, or alternatively can be absorbed in a redefinition of the ground-state energy. Then, Eq. (23) can be split into the term with two and four fields:

---

[4] Any term antisymmetric in any of the two indices will vanish when multiplied by the gauge fields. This means that the kernel is not completely determined, as such terms can always be added.

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_x \left(-\frac{\delta F_{GL}^{(1)}}{(\delta A_i^a)}\frac{\delta F_{GL}^{(1)}}{(\delta A_i^a)} - 2\frac{\delta F_{GL}^{(0)}}{(\delta A_i^a)}\frac{\delta F_{GL}^{(2,4)}}{(\delta A_i^a)} + \frac{1}{4} f^{abc} f^{ade} (\vec{A}^b \times \vec{A}^c)(\vec{A}^d \times \vec{A}^e) \right) = 0\,, \tag{24}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_x \left(\frac{\delta^2 F_{GL}^{(2,4)}}{(\delta A_i^a)^2} - 2\frac{\delta F_{GL}^{(0)}}{(\delta A_i^a)}\frac{\delta F_{GL}^{(2,2)}}{(\delta A_i^a)} \right) = 0\,. \tag{25}$$

$F_{GL}^{(0)}$ and $F_{GL}^{(1)}$ have already been determined (see Eqs. (13) and (22)) and can be inserted into Eqs. (24) and (25), but we still have to implement the Gauss law, which at this order reads

$$\vec{k} \cdot \frac{\delta F_{GL}^{(2,4)}}{\delta \vec{A}^a(\vec{k})} = -i f^{abc} \int_{\not{p}_1,\not{p}_2} \vec{A}^b(\vec{p}_1) \cdot \frac{\delta F_{GL}^{(1)}}{\delta A_i^a(\vec{p}_2)} \not{\delta}(\vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_2 + \vec{k})\,, \tag{26}$$

$$\vec{k} \cdot \frac{\delta F_{GL}^{(2,2)}}{\delta \vec{A}^a(\vec{k})} = 0\,. \tag{27}$$

One first solves Eq. (24) and determines $F_{GL}^{(2,4)}$. Afterwards $F_{GL}^{(2,2)}$ is fixed by Eq. (25). In order to obtain $F_{GL}^{(2,4)}$ the procedure is similar to the one used for $F_{GL}^{(1)}$. The dependence on $F_{GL}^{(2,4)}$ is encoded in the 2nd term of Eq. (24), which we rewrite in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\not{p}} \frac{1}{|\vec{p}|} (\vec{p} \times \vec{A}^a(\vec{p})) \left(\vec{p} \times \frac{\delta F_{GL}^{(2,4)}[A]}{\delta \vec{A}^a(\vec{p})} \right) \\ &\quad = \int_{\not{p}} \frac{1}{|\vec{p}|} \left\{ \vec{p}^2 \left(\vec{A}^a(\vec{p}) \cdot \frac{\delta F_{GL}^{(2,4)}[A]}{\delta \vec{A}^a(\vec{p})} \right) - \left(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{A}^a(\vec{p}) \right) \left(\vec{p} \cdot \frac{\delta F_{GL}^{(2,4)}[A]}{\delta \vec{A}^a(\vec{p})} \right) \right\}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Once again the second term on the right-hand-side is given by the Gauss law, which allows us to isolate $F_{GL}^{(2,4)}$. As above we use the fact that the kernel can be taken to be completely symmetric under the interchange of fields A_{i,a_i,x_i}, which lets us relate the density of $\int_{\not{p}} |\vec{p}| \left(\vec{A}^a(\vec{p}) \cdot \frac{\delta F_{GL}^{(2,4)}[A]}{\delta \vec{A}^a(\vec{p})} \right)$ with the density of $F_{GL}^{(2,4)}[A]$ and we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_{GL}^{(2,4)} = &-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\not{p},\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{q}_1,\not{q}_2} \frac{1}{\sum_i^2 (|\vec{k}_i| + |\vec{q}_i|)} \left(\frac{\delta F_{GL}^{(1)}}{\delta A_i^a(\vec{p})} \right) [\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2] \left(\frac{\delta F_{GL}^{(1)}}{\delta A_i^a(-\vec{p})} \right) [\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2] \\ &- i f^{b_1 b_2 c} \int_{\not{p},\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{q}_1,\not{q}_2} \frac{\not{\delta}(\vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_2 - \vec{p})}{\sum_i (|\vec{k}_i| + |\vec{q}_i|)|\vec{q}_1|} (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{A}^{b_1}(\vec{q}_1)) \left(\vec{A}^{b_2}(\vec{q}_2) \cdot \frac{\delta F_{GL}^{(1)}}{\delta \vec{A}^c(\vec{p})} [\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2] \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$+\frac{1}{8}f^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2c}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{q}_1,\not{q}_2}\frac{\not{\delta}(\sum_i(\vec{k}_i+\vec{q}_i))}{\sum_i(|\vec{k}_i|+|\vec{q}_i|)}(\vec{A}^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1)\times\vec{A}^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2))(\vec{A}^{b_1}(\vec{q}_1)\times\vec{A}^{b_2}(\vec{q}_2))\,, \tag{29}$$

which explicitly reads

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{GL}^{(2,4)} = f^{abc}f^{cde}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{q}_1,\not{q}_2}&\not{\delta}\left(\sum_i(\vec{k}_i+\vec{q}_i)\right)\frac{1}{|\vec{k}_1|+|\vec{k}_2|+|\vec{q}_1|+|\vec{q}_2|}\Bigg\{ \\
&\frac{1}{2(|\vec{k}_1|+|\vec{k}_2|+|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2|)(|\vec{q}_1|+|\vec{q}_2|+|\vec{q}_1+\vec{q}_2|)}\Bigg\{\left(\vec{A}^d(\vec{q}_1)\times\vec{A}^e(\vec{q}_2)\right)\Bigg[-\frac{1}{4}|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2|^2\vec{A}^a(\vec{k}_1)\times\vec{A}^b(\vec{k}_2) \\
&\qquad+\frac{|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2|}{|\vec{k}_2|}(\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2)\times\vec{A}^a(\vec{k}_1)(\vec{k}_2\cdot\vec{A}^b(\vec{k}_2))+\frac{(\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2)\cdot\vec{k}_2}{|\vec{k}_1||\vec{k}_2|}(\vec{k}_1\cdot\vec{A}^a(\vec{k}_1))(\vec{k}_2\times\vec{A}^b(\vec{k}_2)) \\
&\qquad+(\vec{k}_1\times\vec{A}^a(\vec{k}_1))(\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2)\cdot\vec{A}^b(\vec{k}_2)\Bigg] \\
&\quad+(\vec{k}_1\times\vec{A}^a(\vec{k}_1))(\vec{q}_1\times\vec{A}^d(\vec{q}_1))\left(\vec{A}^b(\vec{k}_2)\cdot\vec{A}^e(\vec{q}_2)\right) \\
&\quad+\frac{1}{|\vec{k}_1||\vec{k}_2|}\left[2\vec{k}_2\cdot\vec{A}^e(\vec{q}_2)-\frac{\vec{q}_1\cdot\vec{k}_2}{|\vec{q}_1||\vec{k}_2|}\vec{q}_2\cdot\vec{A}^e(\vec{q}_2)\right](\vec{k}_1\cdot\vec{A}^a(\vec{k}_1))(\vec{k}_2\times\vec{A}^b(\vec{k}_2))(\vec{q}_1\times\vec{A}^d(\vec{q}_1)) \\
&\quad+\frac{1}{|\vec{k}_1|}(\vec{k}_1\cdot\vec{A}^a(\vec{k}_1))(\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2)\times\vec{A}^b(\vec{k}_2)\Bigg[\frac{1}{|\vec{q}_2|}(\vec{q}_1+\vec{q}_2)\times\vec{A}^d(\vec{q}_1)(\vec{q}_2\cdot\vec{A}^e(\vec{q}_2)) \\
&\qquad+\frac{2}{|\vec{q}_1+\vec{q}_2|}(\vec{q}_1\times\vec{A}^d(\vec{q}_1))(\vec{q}_1+\vec{q}_2)\cdot\vec{A}^e(\vec{q}_2)\Bigg] \\
&\quad-\frac{2(\vec{q}_1+\vec{q}_2)\cdot\vec{q}_1}{|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2||\vec{k}_1||\vec{q}_1||\vec{q}_2|}(\vec{k}_1\cdot\vec{A}^a(\vec{k}_1))(\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2)\times\vec{A}^b(\vec{k}_2)(\vec{q}_1\times\vec{A}^d(\vec{q}_1))(\vec{q}_2\cdot\vec{A}^e(\vec{q}_2)) \\
&\quad+\frac{2\vec{k}_1\times\vec{k}_2}{|\vec{k}_1||\vec{k}_2||\vec{q}_1+\vec{q}_2||\vec{q}_2|}(\vec{k}_1\cdot\vec{A}^a(\vec{k}_1))(\vec{k}_2\times\vec{A}^b(\vec{k}_2))(\vec{q}_2\times\vec{A}^d(\vec{q}_1))(\vec{q}_2\times\vec{A}^e(\vec{q}_2)) \\
&\quad+\frac{2}{|\vec{q}_1+\vec{q}_2||\vec{q}_2|}(\vec{k}_1\times\vec{A}^a(\vec{k}_1))(\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2)\times\vec{A}^b(\vec{k}_2)(\vec{q}_2\times\vec{A}^d(\vec{q}_1))(\vec{q}_2\times\vec{A}^e(\vec{q}_2)) \\
&\quad-\frac{1}{|\vec{k}_2||\vec{q}_2|}(\vec{k}_2\times\vec{A}^a(\vec{k}_1))(\vec{k}_2\times\vec{A}^b(\vec{k}_2))(\vec{q}_2\times\vec{A}^d(\vec{q}_1))(\vec{q}_2\times\vec{A}^e(\vec{q}_2))\Bigg\} \\
&+\frac{1}{8}\left(\vec{A}^a(\vec{k}_1)\times\vec{A}^b(\vec{k}_2)\right)\left(\vec{A}^d(\vec{q}_1)\times\vec{A}^e(\vec{q}_2)\right) \\
&+\frac{1}{|\vec{k}_1|(|\vec{q}_1|+|\vec{q}_2|+|\vec{q}_1+\vec{q}_2|)}(\vec{k}_1\cdot\vec{A}^a(\vec{k}_1))\Bigg\{\frac{1}{2}(\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2)\times\vec{A}^b(\vec{k}_2)\left(\vec{A}^d(\vec{q}_1)\times\vec{A}^e(\vec{q}_2)\right) \\
&\quad-(\vec{q}_1\times\vec{A}^d(\vec{q}_1)\left(\vec{A}^b(\vec{k}_2)\times\vec{A}^e(\vec{q}_2)\right)-\frac{1}{|\vec{q}_1+\vec{q}_2||\vec{q}_2|}(\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2)\times\vec{A}^b(\vec{k}_2)(\vec{q}_1+\vec{q}_2)\times\vec{A}^d(\vec{q}_1)(\vec{q}_2\cdot\vec{A}^e(\vec{q}_2)) \\
&\quad+\frac{1}{|\vec{q}_1||\vec{q}_2|}(\vec{q}_2\times\vec{A}^b(\vec{k}_2))(\vec{q}_1\cdot\vec{A}^d(\vec{q}_1))(\vec{q}_2\times\vec{A}^e(\vec{q}_2)) \\
&\quad-\frac{1}{|\vec{q}_1+\vec{q}_2||\vec{q}_2|}(\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2)\cdot\vec{A}^b(\vec{k}_2)(\vec{q}_2\times\vec{A}^d(\vec{q}_1))(\vec{q}_2\times\vec{A}^e(\vec{q}_2))\Bigg\}\Bigg\}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Proceeding analogously for $F_{GL}^{(2,2)}$ we obtain

$$F_{GL}^{(2,2)} = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\not{p},\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2} \frac{1}{\sum_i^2 |\vec{k}_i|} \not{\delta}(\vec{p}+\vec{k_1}+\vec{k_2}) \left(\frac{\delta^2 F_{GL}^{(2,4)}}{\delta A_i^a(\vec{p})\delta A_i^a(-\vec{p})} \right) [\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2] \,. \tag{31}$$

A direct computation of this object turns out to be extremely cumbersome. We will need to wait until sec. IV, where we will be able to relate $F_{GL}^{(2,2)}$ with a known term of $F_{GI}^{(2,2)}$. Its explicit expression in terms of the $\vec{A}$ fields can be found in Eq. (84).

We have thus obtained the wave functional to $\mathcal{O}(e^2)$ by extending the method first devised in Ref. [1] to the next order. The different contributions to $\Psi_{GL}[\vec{A}]$ are summarized in Eqs. (15), (22), (30) and (84). This result satisfies the Schroedinger equation by construction. It is also explicitly real. On the other hand, we can not claim (a priori) that the Gauss law is satisfied, as it has only been used in some intermediate computations. At $\mathcal{O}(e)$ it is possible to directly check that the Gauss law is satisfied. A direct check at $\mathcal{O}(e^2)$ turns out to be extremely difficult to obtain, due to the complexity of the expressions involved. In sec. IV we will devise a method to test the gauge invariance of the expression obtained in this section. Finally we want to stress that the computation we have performed in this section has been carried out without any regularization. The final result happens to be finite but formal manipulations have been performed on potentially divergent expressions. We will come back to this issue in sec. IV.

III. DETERMINATION OF $\Psi_{GI}[\vec{A}]$

In the previous section we have been able to compute the ground-state wave functional at weak coupling at $\mathcal{O}(e^2)$. Nevertheless, the procedure is difficult to automatize. First, regularization issues have been completely skipped in the previous computation and, second, the Gauss law is implemented in a partial, and somewhat ad hoc, manner. This last problem could be overcome by reformulating the Schroedinger equation in terms of gauge invariant variables. One such formulation was originally worked out in Refs. [5–9][5]. Here we mainly follow Ref. [2], where a modified approximation scheme was devised. Even though the original motivation of those works was to understand the strong coupling limit, it is not difficult to see that the approximation scheme worked out in Ref. [2] could be reformulated to provide with a systematic expansion of the weak coupling limit. We do so here and compute the ground-state wave functional to $\mathcal{O}(e^2)$. The only relevant information for us will be the change of field variables used. The initial new field variables will be complex:

---

[5] In those references the regularization of the Schroedinger equation was also addressed, dealing then with the other potential problem of the computation of sec. II.

$$A := \frac{1}{2}\left(A_1 + iA_2\right) , \qquad \bar{A} := \frac{1}{2}\left(A_1 - iA_2\right) . \tag{32}$$

Therefore it is convenient to also change to complex variables the space and momentum components in the following way (note that k and z are defined with different signs):

$$\begin{aligned}
&z = x_1 - ix_2, \qquad \bar{z} = x_1 + ix_2, \\
&k = \frac{1}{2}(k_1 + ik_2), \;\; \bar{k} = \frac{1}{2}(k_1 - ik_2), \quad \vec{k}\cdot\vec{x} = \bar{k}\bar{z} + kz, \\
&\partial = \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_1 + i\partial_2\right), \bar{\partial} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_1 - i\partial_2\right), \quad \partial\bar{\partial} = \frac{1}{4}\vec{\nabla}^2 .
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

A and $\bar{A}$ are still gauge-dependent degrees of freedom. Instead, in Refs. [5–9] new gauge invariant fields named J were introduced. We will then use the following change of variables: $(A_1, A_2) \to (A, \bar{A}) \to (J(A, \bar{A}), \bar{A}(A, \bar{A}))$ where the relation between both variables is the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{A}^a &= \bar{A}^a \\
J^a &= 2i\left(M^\dagger\right)^{ac} A^c + \frac{2}{e}\left((\partial M^\dagger)M^{\dagger -1}\right)^a ,
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where $M^\dagger$ is an invertible matrix, which is a function of $\bar{A}$, defined implicitly by the equation

$$\bar{A} = \frac{1}{e} M^{\dagger -1} \bar{\partial} M^\dagger , \tag{35}$$

which inverted yields (for a more compact expression see Eq. (5) of [7])

$$M(x) = 1 - e\frac{4}{\vec{\nabla}^2}(\bar{\partial}A) + e^2 \frac{4}{\vec{\nabla}^2}\bar{\partial}A\frac{4}{\vec{\nabla}^2}\bar{\partial}A + \mathcal{O}(e^3) , \tag{36}$$

$$M^\dagger(x) = 1 + e\frac{4}{\vec{\nabla}^2}(\partial\bar{A}) + e^2\frac{4}{\vec{\nabla}^2}\partial\left(\frac{4}{\vec{\nabla}^2}\partial\bar{A}\right)\bar{A} + \mathcal{O}(e^3) . \tag{37}$$

These equalities naturally lead to consider the following Green functions:

$$\bar{G}(z) \equiv \frac{1}{\bar{\partial}_z}\delta^{(2)}(z) = -i\int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2}e^{i\vec{k}\vec{z}}\frac{1}{k} = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{\bar{z}}{z\bar{z}+\epsilon^2}\,, \tag{38}$$

$$G(z) \equiv \frac{1}{\partial_z}\delta^{(2)}(z) = -i\int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2}e^{i\vec{k}\vec{z}}\frac{1}{\bar{k}} = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{z}{z\bar{z}+\epsilon^2}\,. \tag{39}$$

Also, a useful relation reads

$$\frac{1}{\bar{\partial}}\left(\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\partial}}\bar{A}^a\right)\bar{A}^b\right) = -\frac{1}{\bar{\partial}}\left(\bar{A}^a\frac{1}{\bar{\partial}}\bar{A}^b\right) + \left(\frac{1}{\bar{\partial}}\bar{A}^a\right)\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\partial}}\bar{A}^b\right)\,, \tag{40}$$

which can easily be checked in momentum space. We also need ($T_F = 1/2$)

$$\left(M^\dagger\right)^{ac} = \frac{1}{T_F}Tr[T^a M^\dagger T^c M^{\dagger -1}]\,. \tag{41}$$

The Gauss law operator can be written in a compact form in terms of $\bar{A}$ and J:

$$I^a(x) = (\vec{D}\cdot\vec{E})^a(x) = -i\int_y \left(D_x^{ab}\frac{\delta J^c(y)}{\delta A^b(x)} + \bar{D}_x^{ab}\frac{\delta J^c(y)}{\delta \bar{A}^b(x)}\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{J}^c(y)} - i\bar{D}_x^{ab}\frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{A}^b(x)}\,. \tag{42}$$

Not surprisingly the dependence on J drops out, since it is possible to prove that

$$D_x^{ab}\frac{\delta J^c(y)}{\delta A_i^b(x)} + \bar{D}_x^{ab}\frac{\delta J^c(y)}{\delta \bar{A}_i^b(x)} = 0\,, \tag{43}$$

where we have used the following properties (keep in mind that $M^{-1}_{ac} = M_{ca}$)

$$\frac{\delta J^c(y)}{\delta A^b(x)} = 2iM^\dagger_{cb}(y)\delta(y-x)\,, \tag{44}$$

$$\frac{\delta J^c(y)}{\delta \bar{A}^b(x)} = 2\left[i\frac{\delta M^\dagger_{cd}(y)}{\delta \bar{A}^b(x)}A_d(y) + \frac{1}{e}\frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{A}^b(x)}\left((\partial M^\dagger(y))M^{\dagger -1}(y)\right)_c\right]\,, \tag{45}$$

$$\frac{\delta M^\dagger_{cd}(y)}{\delta \bar{A}^b(x)} = e\left(\frac{1}{\bar{D}}\right)^{de}_{yx}(-f_{ebh})M^{\dagger -1}_{hc}(x) = e\left(\frac{1}{\bar{D}}\right)^{eb}_{yx}f_{edh}M^{\dagger -1}_{hc}(y)\,, \tag{46}$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{\bar{D}}\right)^{de}_{yx} = \bar{G}(y-x)\left[M^{\dagger -1}(y)M^\dagger(x)\right]_{de}\,. \tag{47}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$I^a(x) = -i\bar{D}_x^{ab}\frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{A}^b(x)} \tag{48}$$

for the Gauss law operator.

In Ref. [9] it was already shown that it was possible to write the Hamiltonian as a pure function of J up to terms proportional to the Gauss law, which vanish when applied to physical (gauge-invariant) states. If we drop those terms the Hamiltonian reads[6]

$$\begin{aligned} H = \frac{2}{\pi}\int_{w,z} \frac{1}{(z-w)^2}\frac{\delta}{\delta J_a(\vec{w})}\frac{\delta}{\delta J_a(\vec{z})} + \frac{1}{2}\int_z :\bar{\partial}J^a(z)\bar{\partial}J^a(z): \\ +ie\int_{w,z} f_{abc}\frac{J^c(w)}{\pi(z-w)}\frac{\delta}{\delta J_a(\vec{w})}\frac{\delta}{\delta J_b(\vec{z})} + \frac{e^2C_A}{2\pi}\int J_a(\vec{z})\frac{\delta}{\delta J_a(\vec{z})}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

which we split into $H = H^{(0)} + H_I$, where $H^{(0)}$ is the first line and H_I the second. It is important to note that the last term in Eq. (49) only appears after regularization of a divergent integral.

We can now obtain the vacuum wave functional in powers of e. We write

$$\Psi_{GI}[J] = \exp(-F_{GI}[J])\,, \tag{50}$$

where (following the notation of [2])

$$\begin{aligned} -2F_{GI}[J] = \int f^{(2)}_{a_1a_2}(x_1,x_2)\; J^{a_1}(x_1)J^{a_2}(x_2) \;+\; \frac{e}{2}\; f^{(3)}_{a_1a_2a_3}(x_1,x_2,x_3)\; J^{a_1}(x_1)J^{a_2}(x_2)J^{a_3}(x_3) \\ +\; \frac{e^2}{4}\; f^{(4)}_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)\; J^{a_1}(x_1)J^{a_2}(x_2)J^{a_3}(x_3)J^{a_4}(x_4) \;+\; \dots \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

and the kernels $f^{(2)}_{a_1a_2}(x_1,x_2)$, $f^{(3)}_{a_1a_2a_3}(x_1,x_2,x_3)$, *etc.*, have the expansions

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(2)}_{a_1a_2}(x_1,x_2) &= f^{(2)}_{0\;a_1a_2}(x_1,x_2) + e^2 f^{(2)}_{2\;a_1a_2}(x_1,x_2) + \dots \\ f^{(3)}_{a_1a_2a_3}(x_1,x_2,x_3) &= f^{(3)}_{0\;a_1a_2a_3}(x_1,x_2,x_3) + e^2 f^{(3)}_{2\;a_1a_2a_3}(x_1,x_2,x_3) + \dots \\ f^{(4)}_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) &= f^{(4)}_{0\;a_1a_2a_3a_4}(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) + \dots\,. \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Acting with the Hamiltonian of Eq. (49) onto this expansion of the wave functional and equating terms of equal numbers of J's we obtain recursion relations for the kernels. These read

---

[6] Note that in Ref. [9] the normalization of J is different.

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\frac{e^2C_A}{2\pi}\ f^{(2)}_{a_1a_2}(x_1,x_2)+4\int_{x,y} f^{(2)}_{a_1a}(x_1,x)(\bar{\Omega}^0)_{ab}(x,y)f^{(2)}_{ba_2}(y,x_2)+V_{ab} \qquad (53)\\
&+e^2\left[6\int_{x,y} f^{(4)}_{a_1a_2ab}(x_1,x_2,x,y)(\bar{\Omega}^0)_{ab}(x,y)+3\int_{x,y} f^{(3)}_{a_1ab}(x_1,x,y)(\bar{\Omega}^1)_{aba_2}(x,y,x_2)\right]=0
\end{aligned}$$

for the term with 2 J's, while for the terms with $p \geq 3$ J's the recursion relation is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{e^2C_A}{2\pi}pf^{(p)}_{a_1\cdots a_p}+\sum_{n=2}^{p} n(p+2-n)f^{(n)}_{a_1\cdots a_{n-1}a}(\bar{\Omega}^0)_{ab}f^{(p-n+2)}_{ba_n\cdots a_p}\\
&+\sum_{n=2}^{p-1} n(p+1-n)f^{(n)}_{a_1\cdots a_{n-1}a}(\bar{\Omega}^1)_{aba_p}f^{(p-n+1)}_{ba_n\cdots a_{p-1}}\\
&+e^2\left[\frac{(p+1)(p+2)}{2}\ f^{(p+2)}_{a_1\cdots a_pab}(\bar{\Omega}^0)_{ab}+\frac{p(p+1)}{2}\ f^{(p+1)}_{a_1\cdots a_{p-1}ab}(\bar{\Omega}^1)_{aba_p}\right]=0\,. \qquad (54)
\end{aligned}$$

In these equations, we have used the abbreviations (following [2])

$$\begin{aligned}
(\bar{\Omega}^0)_{ab}(x,y) &= \delta_{ab}\partial_y\bar{G}(x,y)\,,\\
(\bar{\Omega}^1)_{abc}(x,y,z) &= -\frac{i}{2}\ f^{abc}\left[\delta(z-y)+\delta(z-x)\right]\bar{G}(x,y)\,,\\
V_{ab}(x,y) &= \delta_{ab}\int_z \bar{\partial}_z\delta(z-x)\ \bar{\partial}_z\delta(z-y)\,. \qquad (55)
\end{aligned}$$

These equations are the same as the ones in Ref. [2] (which we have checked explicitly). Note that the splitting into $H^{(0)}$ and H_I was different there, since the last term in Eq. (49) was included in $H^{(0)}$.

If one were able to solve the set of Eqs. (53-54) exactly, one would obtain the exact vacuum functional, without any truncation. Therefore, those equations are a perfect playground on which to try different resummation schemes (as it was done in Ref. [2]). Here we focus on the weak coupling expansion and solve those equations iteratively.

At the lowest (zeroth) order in e, we have to solve Eq. (53) for $f^{(2)}_{0\ a_1a_2}(x_1,x_2)$ with $e=0$. Note that this equation is quadratic in $f^{(2)}$, thus it has two solutions. We take the normalizable one, compatible with perturbation theory:

$$f^{(2)}_{0\ a_1a_2}(x_1,x_2)=\delta_{a_1a_2}\frac{\bar{\partial}^2_{x_1}}{\sqrt{-\vec{\nabla}^2_{x_1}}}\delta^{(2)}(\vec{x}_1-\vec{x}_2)\,. \qquad (56)$$

At higher orders it is better to work in momentum space. We define

$$f^{(3)}_{a_1a_2a_3}(x_1,x_2,x_3) = \int_{\not{k}_1\cdots\not{k}_3} \exp\left(i\sum_i^3 k_i x_i\right)\ f^{(3)}_{a_1a_2a_3}(k_1,k_2,k_3)\,, \tag{57}$$

$$f^{(4)}_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) = \int_{\not{k}_1\cdots\not{k}_4} \exp\left(i\sum_i^4 k_i x_i\right)\ f^{(4)}_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}(k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4). \tag{58}$$

The recursive solution of equations (53-54) to order e^2 gives the following lowest order expressions for the cubic and quartic kernels:

$$f^{(3)}_{0\ a_1a_2a_3}(k_1,k_2,k_3) = -\frac{f^{a_1a_2a_3}}{24}\ (2\pi)^2\delta(k_1+k_2+k_3)\ g^{(3)}(k_1,k_2,k_3)\,, \tag{59}$$

$$f^{(4)}_{0\ a_1a_2;b_1b_2}(k_1,k_2;q_1,q_2) = \frac{f^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2c}}{64}\ (2\pi)^2\delta(k_1+k_2+q_1+q_2)\ g^{(4)}(k_1,k_2;q_1,q_2)\,, \tag{60}$$

where

$$g^{(3)}(k_1,k_2,k_3) = \frac{16}{E_{k_1}+E_{k_2}+E_{k_3}}\left\{\frac{\bar{k}_1\bar{k}_2(\bar{k}_1-\bar{k}_2)}{E_{k_1}E_{k_2}} + cycl.\ perm.\right\}\,, \tag{61}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g^{(4)}(k_1,k_2;q_1,q_2) \ =\ & \frac{1}{E_{k_1}+E_{k_2}+E_{q_1}+E_{q_2}} \\ & \left\{ g^{(3)}(k_1,k_2,-k_1-k_2)\ \frac{k_1+k_2}{\bar{k}_1+\bar{k}_2}\ g^{(3)}(q_1,q_2,-q_1-q_2) \right. \\ & - \left[\frac{(2\bar{k}_1+\bar{k}_2)\,\bar{k}_1}{E_{k_1}} - \frac{(2\bar{k}_2+\bar{k}_1)\,\bar{k}_2}{E_{k_2}}\right] \frac{4}{\bar{k}_1+\bar{k}_2}\ g^{(3)}(q_1,q_2,-q_1-q_2) \\ & \left. - \ g^{(3)}(k_1,k_2,-k_1-k_2)\ \frac{4}{\bar{q}_1+\bar{q}_2}\left[\frac{(2\bar{q}_1+\bar{q}_2)\,\bar{q}_1}{E_{q_1}} - \frac{(2\bar{q}_2+\bar{q}_1)\,\bar{q}_2}{E_{q_2}}\right]\right\}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

and $E_q = |\vec{q}|$.

Note that the various $f^{(n)}$ are not fixed completely, since they are multiplied by local sources. Therefore, only the completely symmetric combination is determined, any antisymmetric term would give zero when multiplied by the sources, as they form a completely symmetric function.

Using the expressions for $f^{(3)}_0$, $f^{(4)}_0$ in Eq. (53), the order e^2-term in $f^{(2)}$ is given by

$$f^{(2)}_{2\ a_1a_2}(k) = \delta_{a_1a_2}\frac{C_A}{2\pi}\frac{\bar{k}^2}{E_k^2}\left[1+N\right]\,, \tag{63}$$

where

$$N = \frac{E_k}{\bar{k}^2} \left(\int \frac{d^2p}{32\pi} \, \frac{1}{\bar{p}} \, g^{(3)}(k,p,-p-k) \;+\; \int \frac{d^2p}{64\pi} \, \frac{p}{\bar{p}} \, g^{(4)}(k,p;-k,-p) \right) . \tag{64}$$

It is possible to perform this integration, albeit numerically. The potentially divergent terms vanish after doing the integration over the phase of the complex number. We obtain

$$N = 0.025999 \, (8\pi) \, . \tag{65}$$

Note that it is real. This is not trivial to predict a priori since $g^{(3)/(4)}$ are complex functions. As we will see this is a strong check of the computation. The kernels $f^{(n)}$, $n \geq 5$, become nontrivial only at higher orders.

Note that the results above are nothing but Taylor expansions of the analogous set of Eqs. in Ref. [2] to the appropriate order. In practice this means setting $m = 0$ in their computation and adding the first term in Eq. (63). This last term will play a very important role in the comparison with the results of the previous section.

Once we have an (approximated) expression for $\Psi_{GI}[J]$ we can transform it back to the original $\vec{A}$ variables: $\Psi_{GI}[J(\vec{A})] \equiv \Psi_{GI}[\vec{A}]$. In principle, as it is a gauge invariant quantity, it should be possible to write it in terms of the gauge covariant quantities $\vec{B}$ and $\vec{D}$. However, since we work order by order in e, we do not need this. On the other hand, rotational $O(2)$ symmetry is preserved explicitly.

We will use the following relation to transform J fields into $\vec{A}$ fields (where the derivatives are in the adjoint representation: $DB = \partial B + e[A,B]$; and we have defined $J = J^a T^a$):

$$\bar{\partial}^n J = -iM^\dagger (\bar{D}^{n-1} B) M^{\dagger -1} \, , \tag{66}$$

as well as Eqs. (36) and (37).

A. Order e^0

In this way at $\mathcal{O}(e^0)$ we obtain

$$-2F^{(0)}_{GI}[\vec{A}] = -\int_{\not{k}} \frac{1}{E_k}(\vec{k}\times\vec{A}^a(\vec{k}))(\vec{k}\times\vec{A}^a(-\vec{k}))\,, \tag{67}$$

which is the expected free-field expression.

B. Order e

At $\mathcal{O}(e)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F^{(1)}_{GI}[\vec{A}] = if^{abc}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{k}_3} & \not{\delta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3}\vec{k}_i\right)\left\{\frac{1}{2|\vec{k}_1|}(\vec{k}_1\times\vec{A}^a(\vec{k}_1))(\vec{A}^b(\vec{k}_2)\times\vec{A}^c(\vec{k}_3))\right. \\ & -\frac{1}{|\vec{k}_3|\vec{k}_1^2}\left(\frac{\vec{k}_1\times\vec{k}_2+i\vec{k}_1\cdot\vec{k}_2}{(|\vec{k}_1|+|\vec{k}_2|+|\vec{k}_3|)|\vec{k}_2|}+i\right)(\vec{k}_1\times\vec{A}^a(\vec{k}_1))(\vec{k}_2\times\vec{A}^b(\vec{k}_2))(\vec{k}_3\times\vec{A}^c(\vec{k}_3)) \\ & \left.+\frac{1}{|\vec{k}_3|\vec{k}_1^2}(\vec{k}_1\cdot\vec{A}^a(\vec{k}_1))(\vec{k}_2\times\vec{A}^b(\vec{k}_2))(\vec{k}_3\times\vec{A}^c(\vec{k}_3))\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

This term comes from a combination of $f^{(3)}$ and $f^{(2)}$ terms, as we have to remember that J has an expansion in e itself. Using the invariance of the integrals under interchange of integration variables and the fact that the delta function allows to write one momentum in terms of the other two, it is possible, however tedious and nontrivial, to show that the imaginary term of Eq. (68) vanishes and that the real part is equal to Eq. (22).

C. Order e^2

At $\mathcal{O}(e^2)$ we obtain

$$-2F^{(2,2)}_{GI} = \frac{C_A}{2\pi}\int_{\not{k}} \frac{1}{|\vec{k}|^2}(\vec{k}\times\vec{A}^a(\vec{k}))(\vec{k}\times\vec{A}^a(-\vec{k}))[1+N]\,. \tag{69}$$

This term is associated with the $f^{(2)}_2$ term.

For the term with four gauge fields we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-2\mathrm{Re}F_{GI}^{(2,4)} = \qquad\qquad (70)\\
&\frac{1}{4}f^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2c}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{q}_1,\not{q}_2}\not{\delta}(\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2+\vec{q}_1+\vec{q}_2)\frac{1}{|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2|}\Big(\vec{A}^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1)\times\vec{A}^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2)\Big)\Big(\vec{A}^{b_1}(\vec{q}_1)\times\vec{A}^{b_2}(\vec{q}_2)\Big)\\
&+f^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2c}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{q}_1,\not{q}_2}\not{\delta}(\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2+\vec{q}_1+\vec{q}_2)\frac{1}{\vec{k}_2^{\,2}}\left(\frac{1}{|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2|}-\frac{1}{|\vec{k}_1|}\right)\\
&\qquad\qquad(\vec{k}_1\times\vec{A}^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1)(\vec{k}_2\cdot\vec{A}^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2))(\vec{A}^{b_1}(\vec{q}_1)\times\vec{A}^{b_2}(\vec{q}_2))\\
&-f^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2c}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{k}_3,\not{k}_4}\not{\delta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\vec{k}_1\right)\Bigg\{\left(\frac{1}{|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2|}-\frac{1}{|\vec{k}_3|}\right)\frac{1}{\vec{k}_2^{\,2}\vec{k}_4^{\,2}}(\vec{k}_1\times\vec{A}^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1))(\vec{k}_3\times\vec{A}^{b_1}(\vec{k}_3))\\
&\quad\Bigg((\vec{k}_2\cdot\vec{A}^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2))(\vec{k}_4\cdot\vec{A}^{b_2}(\vec{k}_4))-(\vec{k}_2\times\vec{A}^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2))(\vec{k}_4\times\vec{A}^{b_2}(\vec{k}_4))\Bigg)\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{|\vec{k}_2|(\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4)^2\vec{k}_3^2}(\vec{k}_1\times\vec{A}^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1))(\vec{k}_2\times\vec{A}^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2))\\
&\quad\Bigg((\vec{k}_3\cdot\vec{A}^{b_1}(\vec{k}_3))(\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4)\cdot\vec{A}^{b_2}(\vec{k}_4)-(\vec{k}_3\times\vec{A}^{b_1}(\vec{k}_3))(\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4)\times\vec{A}^{b_2}(\vec{k}_4)\Bigg)\Bigg\}\\
&+f^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2c}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{k}_3,\not{k}_4}\not{\delta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\vec{k}_i\right)\frac{\vec{k}_1\times\vec{k}_2}{(|\vec{k}_1|+|\vec{k}_2|+|\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4|)|\vec{k}_1||\vec{k}_2|}\\
&\qquad\Big(\frac{2}{|\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4||\vec{k}_1|}+\frac{1}{(\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4)^2}\Big)\\
&\qquad(\vec{k}_1\times\vec{A}^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1))(\vec{k}_2\times\vec{A}^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2))(\vec{A}^{b_1}(\vec{k}_3)\times\vec{A}^{b_2}(\vec{k}_4))\\
&-2f^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2c}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{k}_3,\not{k}_4}\not{\delta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\vec{k}_i\right)(\vec{k}_1\times\vec{A}^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1))(\vec{k}_2\times\vec{A}^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2))(\vec{k}_3\times\vec{A}^{b_1}(\vec{k}_3))\\
&\quad\frac{1}{(|\vec{k}_1|+|\vec{k}_2|+|\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4|)|\vec{k}_1|}\Bigg(\frac{1}{|\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4|\vec{k}_2^2}\vec{k}_2\times\vec{A}^{b_2}(\vec{k}_4)\\
&\qquad+\frac{1}{|\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4|\vec{k}_2^2\vec{k}_4^2}\Big(\vec{k}_2\times(\vec{k}_3-\vec{k}_1)(\vec{k}_4\cdot\vec{A}^{b_2}(\vec{k}_4))+\vec{k}_2\cdot(\vec{k}_3-\vec{k}_1)(\vec{k}_4\times\vec{A}^{b_2}(\vec{k}_4))\Big)\\
&\qquad-\frac{1}{|\vec{k}_2|\vec{k}_3^2\vec{k}_4^2}\Big(\vec{k}_1\times\vec{k}_3(\vec{k}_4\cdot\vec{A}^{b_2}(\vec{k}_4))-\vec{k}_1\cdot\vec{k}_3(\vec{k}_4\times\vec{A}^{b_2}(\vec{k}_4))\Big)\\
&\qquad+\frac{1}{|\vec{k}_2||\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4|^2\vec{k}_3^2}\Big(\vec{k}_1\times\vec{k}_3(\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4)\cdot\vec{A}^{b_2}(\vec{k}_4)-\vec{k}_1\cdot\vec{k}_3(\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4)\times\vec{A}^{b_2}(\vec{k}_4)\Big)\Bigg)\\
&-f^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2c}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{k}_3,\not{k}_4}\not{\delta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\vec{k}_i\right)(\vec{k}_1\times\vec{A}^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1))(\vec{k}_2\times\vec{A}^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2))(\vec{k}_3\times\vec{A}^{b_1}(\vec{k}_3))(\vec{k}_4\times\vec{A}^{b_2}(\vec{k}_4))\\
&\quad\frac{1}{(\sum_i|\vec{k}_i|)(|\vec{k}_1|+|\vec{k}_2|+|\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4|)(|\vec{k}_3|+|\vec{k}_4|+|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2|)|\vec{k}_1||\vec{k}_3|}\\
&\quad\Bigg\{\frac{\vec{k}_1^2\vec{q}_1^2-(\vec{k}_1\times\vec{k}_2)(\vec{q}_1\times\vec{q}_2)}{|\vec{k}_2||\vec{k}_4|(\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2)^2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$-\frac{|\vec{k}_2|}{|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2|}\left(2\left(2\frac{\vec{q}_1\cdot\vec{q}_2}{\vec{q}_2^2}+1\right)+4\frac{(\vec{k}_1\times\vec{k}_2)(\vec{q}_1\times\vec{q}_2)}{\vec{k}_2^2\vec{q}_2^2}\right)\left(1-\frac{|\vec{k}_3|+|\vec{k}_4|+|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2|}{|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2|}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left(2\frac{\vec{k}_1\cdot\vec{k}_2}{\vec{k}_2^2}+1\right)\left(2\frac{\vec{q}_1\cdot\vec{q}_2}{\vec{q}_2^2}+1\right)-4\frac{(\vec{k}_1\times\vec{k}_2)(\vec{q}_1\times\vec{q}_2)}{\vec{k}_2^2\vec{q}_2^2}\right)\left(1-2\frac{|\vec{k}_3|+|\vec{k}_4|+|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2|}{|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2|}\right)\Bigg\},$$

$$-2i\mathrm{Im}F_{GI}^{(2,4)}=$$

$$if^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2c}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{k}_3,\not{k}_4}\not{\delta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\vec{k}_i\right)(\vec{k}_1\times\vec{A}^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1))(\vec{k}_2\times\vec{A}^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2))(\vec{A}^{b_1}(\vec{k}_3)\times\vec{A}^{b_2}(\vec{k}_4))$$

$$\left\{\frac{1}{(|\vec{k}_1|+|\vec{k}_2|+|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2|)|\vec{k}_1||\vec{k}_2|}\left(\frac{\vec{k}_1^2+2\vec{k}_1\cdot\vec{k}_2}{|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2||\vec{k}_1|}-\frac{\vec{k}_1^2+\vec{k}_1\cdot\vec{k}_2}{|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2|^2}\right)-\frac{1}{\vec{k}_2^{\,2}}\left(\frac{1}{|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2|}-\frac{1}{|\vec{k}_1|}\right)\right\}$$

$$+if^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2c}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{k}_3,\not{k}_4}\not{\delta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\vec{k}_i\right)(\vec{k}_1\times\vec{A}^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1))(\vec{k}_2\times\vec{A}^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2))(\vec{k}_3\times\vec{A}^{b_1}(\vec{k}_3))(\vec{k}_4\cdot\vec{A}^{b_2}(\vec{k}_4))$$

$$\Bigg\{\frac{1}{\vec{k}_1^2\vec{k}_2^2\vec{k}_3^2\vec{k}_4^2(\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4)^2}\Big(2\vec{k}_1^2\vec{k}_3^2|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2|-|\vec{k}_1|\vec{k}_3^2(\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2)^2-\vec{k}_1^2|\vec{k}_3|(\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2)^2+\vec{k}_1^2|\vec{k}_2|(\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2)^2$$

$$+\vec{k}_1^2|\vec{k}_2|\vec{k}_3\cdot(\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2)\Big)$$

$$+2\frac{1}{(|\vec{k}_1|+|\vec{k}_2|+|\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4|)|\vec{k}_1|}\left\{\frac{\vec{k}_2\cdot(2\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2)}{|\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4|\vec{k}_2^2\vec{k}_4^2}-\frac{\vec{k}_1\cdot\vec{k}_3}{|\vec{k}_2|\vec{k}_3^2\vec{k}_4^2}+\frac{\vec{k}_1\cdot\vec{k}_3}{|\vec{k}_2||\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4|^2\vec{k}_3^2}\right\}\Bigg\}$$

$$+if^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2c}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{k}_3,\not{k}_4}\not{\delta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\vec{k}_i\right)(\vec{k}_1\times\vec{A}^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1))(\vec{k}_2\times\vec{A}^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2))(\vec{k}_3\times\vec{A}^{b_1}(\vec{k}_3))(\vec{k}_3\cdot\vec{A}^{b_2}(\vec{k}_4))$$

$$\left\{\frac{1}{|\vec{k}_2|(\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4)^2\vec{k}_3^2}-2\frac{1}{(|\vec{k}_1|+|\vec{k}_2|+|\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4|)|\vec{k}_1|}\frac{1}{|\vec{k}_2||\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4|^2\vec{k}_3^2}(\vec{k}_1\cdot\vec{k}_3)\right\}$$

$$+if^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2c}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{k}_3,\not{k}_4}\not{\delta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\vec{k}_i\right)(\vec{k}_1\times\vec{A}^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1))(\vec{k}_2\times\vec{A}^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2))(\vec{k}_3\cdot\vec{A}^{b_1}(\vec{k}_3))(\vec{k}_3\times\vec{A}^{b_2}(\vec{k}_4))$$

$$\frac{1}{|\vec{k}_2|(\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4)^2\vec{k}_3^2}$$

$$+2if^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2c}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{k}_3,\not{k}_4}\not{\delta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\vec{k}_i\right)(\vec{k}_1\times\vec{A}^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1))(\vec{k}_2\times\vec{A}^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2))(\vec{k}_3\times\vec{A}^{b_1}(\vec{k}_3))(\vec{k}_3\times\vec{A}^{b_2}(\vec{k}_4))$$

$$\frac{1}{(|\vec{k}_1|+|\vec{k}_2|+|\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4|)|\vec{k}_1|}\frac{1}{|\vec{k}_2||\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4|^2\vec{k}_3^2}(\vec{k}_1\times\vec{k}_3)$$

$$+2if^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2c}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{k}_3,\not{k}_4}\not{\delta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\vec{k}_i\right)(\vec{k}_1\times\vec{A}^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1))(\vec{k}_2\times\vec{A}^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2))(\vec{k}_3\times\vec{A}^{b_1}(\vec{k}_3))(\vec{k}_4\times\vec{A}^{b_2}(\vec{k}_4))$$

$$\Bigg[\frac{2(\vec{k}_1\times\vec{k}_2)\Big\{|\vec{k}_2||\vec{k}_3|(\vec{k}_3\cdot\vec{k}_4)-|\vec{k}_1||\vec{k}_4|^3+(\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2)^2\left(2\vec{k}_3\cdot\vec{k}_4+\vec{k}_4^2\right)\Big\}}{(\sum_i|\vec{k}_i|)(|\vec{k}_1|+|\vec{k}_2|+|\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4|)(|\vec{k}_3|+|\vec{k}_4|+|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2|)|\vec{k}_1|\vec{k}_2^2|\vec{k}_3|\vec{k}_4^2(\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2)^2}$$
$$+\frac{2(\vec{k}_1\times\vec{k}_2)\left(2\vec{k}_3\cdot\vec{k}_4+\vec{k}_4^2\right)}{(|\vec{k}_1|+|\vec{k}_2|+|\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4|)(|\vec{k}_3|+|\vec{k}_4|+|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2|)|\vec{k}_1|\vec{k}_2^2|\vec{k}_3|\vec{k}_4^2|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2|}$$
$$+\frac{1}{(|\vec{k}_1|+|\vec{k}_2|+|\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4|)|\vec{k}_1|}\left\{\frac{-2\vec{k}_2\times\vec{k}_1}{|\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4|\vec{k}_2^2\vec{k}_4^2}-\frac{\vec{k}_1\times\vec{k}_3}{|\vec{k}_2|\vec{k}_3^2\vec{k}_4^2}+\frac{\vec{k}_1\times\vec{k}_3}{|\vec{k}_2||\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4|^2\vec{k}_3^2}\right\}\Bigg]\,. \tag{71}$$

The last two equations can be rewritten in several ways, yet, without an organizing principle, their sizes remain more or less the same.

The resulting expression for the ground state wave functional seems to have a non-vanishing imaginary term. This is at odds with expectations, and with the result of the previous section. The real part does not look at all as the result obtained in the previous section either. We discuss this puzzling situation in the next section.

IV. COMPARISON BETWEEN BOTH APPROACHES

If we compare the expressions we have found for the ground state wave functional in Secs. II and III we see that they look completely different. Even more so, whereas Ψ_{GL} is explicitly real, Ψ_{GI} has, a priori, a non-vanishing imaginary term. Only the $\mathcal{O}(e^0)$ expressions are trivially equal. Starting at $\mathcal{O}(e)$ we can get agreement between both expressions after quite lengthy and non-trivial rearrangements.

At $\mathcal{O}(e^2)$ a direct comparison by brute force turns out to be completely impossible. In order to compare expressions we need an organizing principle that allows to split the comparison into pieces. The procedure we follow is to rewrite Ψ_{GL} in terms of J and $\bar{A}$ (actually we will use the variable θ defined below[7]). If Ψ_{GL} and Ψ_{GI} are going to be equal, all terms proportional to $\bar{A}$ (or θ) should vanish. Moreover, to a given order in e the polynomial in $\bar{A}$ is finite so only a finite number of terms need to be compared.

In order to perform such comparison to $\mathcal{O}(e^2)$ we need the following relations:

$$M^\dagger \equiv e^{e\theta} = 1 + e\theta + \frac{e^2}{2}\theta^2 + \mathcal{O}(e^3)\,, \tag{72}$$

---

[7] The field θ could be interpreted as a kind of generator of complex $SL(N,\mathbb{C})$ gauge transformations, see Ref. [9].

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{\dagger -1} &= 1 - e\theta + \frac{e^2}{2}\theta^2 + \mathcal{O}(e^3)\,, &(73)\\
A &= -\frac{1}{2}M^{\dagger -1}JM^{\dagger} + \frac{1}{e}M^{\dagger -1}\partial M^{\dagger}\\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\left[J - e[\theta, J] + \frac{e^2}{4}\left[\theta,[\theta,J]\right]\right] + \partial\theta - \frac{e}{2}[\theta,\partial\theta] + \frac{e^2}{3!}\left[\theta,[\theta,\partial\theta]\right] + \mathcal{O}(e^3)\,, &(74)\\
\bar{A} &= \frac{1}{e}M^{\dagger -1}\bar{\partial}M^{\dagger} = \bar{\partial}\theta - \frac{e}{2}[\theta,\bar{\partial}\theta] + \frac{e^2}{3!}\left[\theta,[\theta,\bar{\partial}\theta]\right] + \mathcal{O}(e^3)\,, &(75)\\
A^a(\vec{k}) &= -\frac{i}{2}J^a(\vec{k}) + ik\theta^a(\vec{k}) + \frac{ie}{2}f^{abc}\int_{\not{q}}\theta^b(\vec{k}-\vec{q})J^c(\vec{q}) - \frac{ie}{2}f^{abc}\int_{\not{q}} q\,\theta^b(\vec{k}-\vec{q})\theta^c(\vec{q})\\
&\quad + \frac{ie^2}{4}f^{bcd}f^{dea}\int_{\not{q}}\int_{\not{p}}\theta^b(\vec{k}-\vec{q}-\vec{p})J^c(\vec{q})\theta^e(\vec{p}) - \frac{ie^2}{3!}f^{bcd}f^{dea}\int_{\not{q}}\int_{\not{p}}\theta^b(\vec{k}-\vec{q}-\vec{p})q\theta^c(\vec{q})\theta^e(\vec{p})\\
&\quad + \mathcal{O}(e^3)\,, &(76)\\
\bar{A}^a(\vec{k}) &= i\bar{k}\theta^a(\vec{k}) - \frac{ie}{2}f^{abc}\int_{\not{q}}\bar{q}\,\theta^b(\vec{k}-\vec{q})\theta^c(\vec{q}) - \frac{e^2}{3!}f^{bcd}f^{dea}\int_{\not{q},\not{p}}\left[k\bar{q} - \bar{k}q\right]\theta^b(\vec{k}-\vec{q}-\vec{p})\theta^c(\vec{q})\theta^e(\vec{p})\\
&\quad + \mathcal{O}(e^3)\,, &(77)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta = -i\theta^a T^a$.

For the $\mathcal{O}(e^0)$ and the $\mathcal{O}(e)$ contributions of F_{GL} it is possible to show that the θ terms vanish and the rest agrees with F_{GI} in a direct fashion by just inserting the relations (76) and (77) into $F_{GL}^{(0)}$ and $F_{GL}^{(1)}$ and summing coefficients of terms with equal numbers of J's and θ's. However, for the $\mathcal{O}(e^2)$ contributions, even after these simplifications, a brute force attack on the problem leads to expressions too large and complicated to directly show the equivalence between both expressions.

At this respect it is better to use some intermediate expressions of the Ψ_{GL} computation that better agree with the structure of the Ψ_{GI} result in terms of J. Particularly relevant for us is Eq. (29), which relates $F_{GL}^{(2,4)}$ with $(\delta F_{GL}^{(1)})/(\delta\vec{A})$. We can write $F_{GL}^{(1)}[J,\theta] \equiv F_{GL}^{(1)}[\vec{A}(J,\theta)]$ in terms of $g^{(3)}$. Using

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\delta}{\delta A_i^a(\vec{p})} &= \int_q \frac{\delta A^b(\vec{q})}{\delta A_i^a(\vec{p})}\frac{\delta}{\delta A^b(\vec{q})} + \int_q \frac{\delta \bar{A}^b(\vec{q})}{\delta A_i^a(\vec{p})}\frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{A}^b(\vec{q})}\\
&= \int_{q_1,q_2} \frac{\delta A^b(\vec{q}_1)}{\delta A_i^a(\vec{p})}\frac{\delta J^c(\vec{q}_2)}{\delta A^b(\vec{q}_1)}\frac{\delta}{\delta J^c(\vec{q}_2)} + \int_{q_1,q_2}\frac{\delta \bar{A}^b(\vec{q}_1)}{\delta A_i^a(\vec{p})}\left(\frac{\delta J^c(\vec{q}_2)}{\delta \bar{A}^b(\vec{q}_1)}\frac{\delta}{\delta J^c(\vec{q}_2)} + \delta(\vec{q}_1 - \vec{q}_2)\frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{A}^b(\vec{q}_2)}\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{1i} + i\delta_{2i}\right)(2i)\frac{\delta}{\delta J^a(\vec{p})} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{1i} - i\delta_{2i}\right)\left(-2i\frac{p}{\bar{p}}\frac{\delta}{\delta J^a(\vec{p})} + \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{A}^a(\vec{p})}\right) + \mathcal{O}(e)\,, \qquad (78)
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta F_{GL}^{(1)}}{\delta A_i^a(\vec{p})} &= -i f^{aa_1a_2} \int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2} \not{\delta}\left(\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2+\vec{p}\right) \\ &\left\{\left\{(\delta_{1i}+i\delta_{2i})\frac{g^{(3)}(\vec{k}_1,\vec{k}_2,\vec{p})}{32} + (\delta_{1i}-i\delta_{2i})\left(-\frac{p}{\bar{p}}\frac{g^{(3)}(\vec{k}_1,\vec{k}_2,\vec{p})}{32}+\frac{\bar{k}_2^2}{2\bar{p}|\vec{k}_2|}\right)\right\} J^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1)J^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2)\right. \\ &+\left\{(\delta_{1i}+i\delta_{2i})\left(\frac{\bar{p}^2}{|\vec{p}|}-\frac{\bar{k}_1^2}{|\vec{k}_1|}\right)-(\delta_{1i}-i\delta_{2i})\left(\frac{1}{4}|\vec{p}|+\frac{\bar{k}_1}{|\vec{k}_1|}\left(-\frac{p}{\bar{p}}(\bar{k}_1+\bar{k}_2)+k_2\right)\right)\right\} J^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1)\theta^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2) \\ &\left.+\left\{(\delta_{1i}+i\delta_{2i})2\frac{\bar{p}k_1\bar{k}_2}{|\vec{p}|}-(\delta_{1i}-i\delta_{2i})2\frac{pk_1\bar{k}_2}{|\vec{p}|}\right\}\theta^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1)\theta^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2)\right\} + O(e)\,. \qquad (79)\end{aligned}$$

With this we can write $F_{GL}^{(2,4)}[J,\theta]$ as a second order polynomial in $g^{(3)}$. This gives us the guiding principle to try to reconstruct $g^{(4)}$, which is also a second order polynomial in $g^{(3)}$. This term should be proportional to J^4 and we find that indeed it is.

In Eq. (29) one can see that all terms in $F_{GL}^{(2,4)}[J,\theta]$ have a prefactor of $\frac{1}{|\vec{k}_1|+|\vec{k}_2|+|\vec{q}_1|+|\vec{q}_2|}$. As we need the gauge ($\theta$) dependent terms to cancel with the corresponding terms from $F_{GL}^{(0)}$ and $F_{GL}^{(1)}$, that don't have this prefactor, we find a second guiding principle, which is to rewrite the θ dependent terms of $F_{GL}^{(2,4)}[J,\theta]$ in such a way, that this prefactor drops out and then try to find a form similar to the gauge dependent contributions of $F_{GL}^{(0)}$ and $F_{GL}^{(1)}$. To do so we extensively use the Jacobi identity and the invariance of the integrals under interchange of integration variables, as well as the delta function. We also use the fact that the integration kernels can be taken to be completely symmetric under the interchange of the variables of two equal fields (for instance $J^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1)J^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2)$). Still the computation is very lengthy and we will give some details in a different publication. In the end we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}F_{GL}^{(0)} &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\not{k}}\frac{\bar{k}^2}{|\vec{k}|}J^a(\vec{k})J^a(-\vec{k}) + e\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{k}_3}\not{\delta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3}\vec{k}_i\right)\frac{\bar{k}_3^{\,2}}{|\vec{k}_3|}f^{abc}J^a(\vec{k}_1)\theta^b(\vec{k}_2)J^c(\vec{k}_3) \\ &\quad -ef^{abc}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{k}_3}\not{\delta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3}\vec{k}_i\right)\frac{\bar{k}_1(k_1\bar{k}_3-\bar{k}_1k_3)}{|\vec{k}_1|}J^a(\vec{k}_1)\theta^b(\vec{k}_2)\theta^c(\vec{k}_3) \\ &\quad +\frac{e^2}{2}f^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2e}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{q}_1,\not{q}_2}\not{\delta}\left(\sum_{i}^{2}(\vec{k}_i+\vec{q}_i)\right)\left(\frac{(\bar{k}_1+\bar{k}_2)^2}{|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2|}-\frac{\bar{k}_1^2}{|\vec{k}_1|}\right)J^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1)\theta^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2)J^{b_1}(\vec{q}_1)\theta^{b_2}(\vec{q}_2) \\ &\quad +e^2f^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2c}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{q}_1,\not{q}_2}\not{\delta}\left(\sum_{i}(\vec{k}_i+\vec{q}_i)\right)J^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1)\theta^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2)\theta^{b_1}(\vec{q}_1)\theta^{b_2}(\vec{q}_2)\end{aligned}$$

$$
\times\left(\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{|\vec{k}_1|}\bar{k}_1(k_1\bar{q}_2-\bar{k}_1q_2)+\frac{1}{|\vec{q}_1+\vec{q}_2|}(\bar{q}_1+\bar{q}_2)(q_2\bar{q}_1-\bar{q}_2q_1)\right)
$$

$$
-2e^2f^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2c}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{q}_1,\not{q}_2}\not{\delta}\left(\sum_i(\vec{k}_i+\vec{q}_i)\right)\theta^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1)\theta^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2)\theta^{b_1}(\vec{q}_1)\theta^{b_2}(\vec{q}_2)\frac{\bar{k}_2k_1\bar{q}_2q_1}{|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2|}+O(e^3), \tag{80}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{GL}^{(1)}=&-f^{abc}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{k}_3}\not{\delta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3}\vec{k}_i\right)\frac{g^{(3)}(\vec{k}_1,\vec{k}_2,\vec{k}_3)}{96}J^a(\vec{k}_1)J^b(\vec{k}_2)J^c(\vec{k}_3)\\
&-f^{abc}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{k}_3}\not{\delta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3}\vec{k}_i\right)\frac{\bar{k}_3^2}{|\vec{k}_3|}J^a(\vec{k}_1)\theta^b(\vec{k}_2)J^c(\vec{k}_3)\\
&-2f^{abc}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{k}_3}\not{\delta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3}\vec{k}_i\right)\frac{\bar{k}_1k_2\bar{k}_3}{|\vec{k}_1|}J^a(\vec{k}_1)\theta^b(\vec{k}_2)\theta^c(\vec{k}_3)\\
&-ef^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2c}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{q}_1,\not{q}_2}\not{\delta}\left(\sum(\vec{k}_i+\vec{q}_i)\right)\frac{g^{(3)}(\vec{k}_1,\vec{k}_2,-\vec{k}_1-\vec{k}_2)}{32}J^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1)J^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2)J^{b_1}(\vec{q}_1)\theta^{b_2}(\vec{q}_2)\\
&+ef^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2c}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{q}_1,\not{q}_2}\not{\delta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2}(\vec{k}_i+\vec{q}_i)\right)J^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1)J^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2)\theta^{b_1}(\vec{q}_1)\theta^{b_2}(\vec{q}_2)\\
&\qquad\times\left(\frac{\bar{q}_2q_1}{\bar{q}_1+\bar{q}_2}\frac{g^{(3)}(\vec{k}_1,\vec{k}_2,-\vec{k}_1-\vec{k}_2)}{16}-\frac{\bar{q}_2}{(\bar{q}_1+\bar{q}_2)}\frac{\bar{k}_2^2}{2|\vec{k}_2|}\right)\\
&-ef^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2c}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{q}_1,\not{q}_2}\not{\delta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2}(\vec{k}_i+\vec{q}_i)\right)\left(\frac{(\bar{k}_1+\bar{k}_2)^2}{|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2|}-\frac{\bar{k}_1^2}{|\vec{k}_1|}\right)J^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1)\theta^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2)J^{b_1}(\vec{q}_1)\theta^{b_2}(\vec{q}_2)\\
&-ef^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2c}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{k}_3,\not{q}}\not{\delta}\left(\sum_{i=1}(\vec{k}_i+\vec{q}_i)\right)J^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1)\theta^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2)\theta^{b_1}(\vec{q}_1)\theta^{b_2}(\vec{q}_2)\\
&\qquad\times\left(\frac{\bar{k}_1}{|\vec{k}_1|}(k_1\bar{q}_2-\bar{k}_1q_2)+4\frac{(\bar{k}_1+\bar{k}_2)}{|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2|}q_1\bar{q}_2\right)\\
&+4ef^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2c}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{q}_1,\not{q}_2}\not{\delta}\left(\sum_{i}^{2}(\vec{k}_i+\vec{q}_i)\right)\theta^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1)\theta^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2)\theta^{b_1}(\vec{q}_1)\theta^{b_2}(\vec{q}_2)\frac{k_1\bar{k}_2q_1\bar{q}_2}{|\vec{q}_1+\vec{q}_2|}+O(e^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{81}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{GL}^{(2,4)}=&-\frac{1}{512}f^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2c}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{q}_1,\not{q}_2}\not{\delta}\left(\sum_{i}^{2}(\vec{k}_i+\vec{q}_i)\right)g^{(4)}(k_1,k_2;q_1,q_2)J^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1)J^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2)J^{b_1}(\vec{q}_1)J^{b_2}(\vec{q}_2)\\
&+\frac{1}{32}f^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2c}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{q}_1,\not{q}_2}\not{\delta}\left(\sum_{i}^{2}(\vec{k}_i+\vec{q}_i)\right)g^{(3)}(\vec{k}_1,\vec{k}_2,-\vec{k}_1-\vec{k}_2)J^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1)J^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2)J^{b_1}(\vec{q}_1)\theta^{b_2}(\vec{q}_2)\\
&+\frac{1}{2}f^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2c}\int_{\not{k}_1,\not{k}_2,\not{q}_1,\not{q}_2}\not{\delta}\left(\sum_{i}^{2}(\vec{k}_i+\vec{q}_i)\right)\left(\frac{(\bar{k}_1+\bar{k}_2)^2}{|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2|}-\frac{\bar{k}_1^2}{|\vec{k}_1|}\right)J^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1)\theta^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2)J^{b_1}(\vec{q}_1)\theta^{b_2}(\vec{q}_2)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-f^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2c}\int_{{\not k}_1,{\not k}_2,{\not q}_1,{\not q}_2}\not\delta\left(\sum_i^2(\vec{k}_i+\vec{q}_i)\right)J^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1)J^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2)\theta^{b_1}(\vec{q}_1)\theta^{b_2}(\vec{q}_2)\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\left(\frac{q_1\bar{q}_2}{\bar{q}_1+\bar{q}_2}\frac{g^{(3)}(\vec{k}_1,\vec{k}_2,-\vec{k}_1-\vec{k}_2)}{16}-\frac{\bar{q}_2}{\bar{q}_1+\bar{q}_2}\frac{\bar{k}_2^2}{2|\vec{k}_2|}\right)\\
&+2f^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2c}\int_{{\not p},{\not k}_1,{\not k}_2,{\not q}_1,{\not q}_2}\not\delta\left(\sum_i(\vec{k}_i+\vec{q}_i)\right)q_1\bar{q}_2\left(\frac{\bar{k}_1+\bar{k}_2}{|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2|}-\frac{\bar{k}_1}{|\vec{k}_1|}\right)J^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1)\theta^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2)\theta^{b_1}(\vec{q}_1)\theta^{b_2}(\vec{q}_2)\\
&-2f^{a_1a_2c}f^{b_1b_2c}\int_{{\not k}_1,{\not k}_2,{\not q}_1,{\not q}_2}\not\delta\left(\sum_i(\vec{k}_i+\vec{q}_i)\right)\frac{k_1\bar{k}_2q_1\bar{q}_2}{|\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2|}\theta^{a_1}(\vec{k}_1)\theta^{a_2}(\vec{k}_2)\theta^{b_1}(\vec{q}_1)\theta^{b_2}(\vec{q}_2)+O(e)\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{82}
$$

We now move to $F_{GL}^{(2,2)}$, which is associated to a one-loop computation. We have already mentioned in sec. II that its direct determination in terms of $\vec{A}$ fields is not feasible. Again we follow the strategy of rewriting $F_{GL}^{(2,2)}$ in terms of J and θ. Actually what we use is Eq. (82), which we plug into Eq. (31) after we rewrite the functional derivatives in terms of J and $\bar{A}$ using Eq. (78). The calculation simplifies a lot and we find

$$
F_{GL}^{(2,2)}=-\frac{c_A}{32}\int_{{\not p},{\not k}}\frac{1}{|\vec{k}|}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{p}}g^{(3)}(k,p,-k-p)+\frac{1}{2}\frac{p}{\bar{p}}g^{(4)}(p,k;-p,-k)\right)J^a(\vec{k})J^a(-\vec{k})+\mathcal{O}(e)\,.
\tag{83}
$$

This result allows us to write $F_{GL}^{(2,2)}$ in terms of the gauge fields. It reads

$$
F_{GL}^{(2,2)}=-N\frac{C_A}{4\pi}\int_{\not k}\frac{1}{|\vec{k}|^2}(\vec{k}\times\vec{A}^a(\vec{k}))(\vec{k}\times\vec{A}^a(-\vec{k}))\,,
\tag{84}
$$

where N has been defined in Eq. (64).

We can now combine all the different contributions (in an, again, not completely trivial computation). We obtain the following equalities

$$
F_{GL}[\vec{A}(J,\theta)]=F_{GI}[J]-\frac{C_Ae^2}{4\pi}\int_{\not k}\frac{\bar{k}^2}{|\vec{k}|^2}J^a(\vec{k})J^a(-\vec{k})+\mathcal{O}(e^3)\,,
\tag{85}
$$

or in terms of the gauge fields

$$
F_{GI}[J(\vec{A})]=F_{GL}[\vec{A}]-\frac{C_Ae^2}{4\pi}\int_{\not k}\frac{1}{|\vec{k}|^2}(\vec{k}\times\vec{A}^a(\vec{k}))(\vec{k}\times\vec{A}^a(-\vec{k}))+\mathcal{O}(e^3)\,.
\tag{86}
$$

The first equality implies that $F_{GL}[\vec{A}]$ is gauge invariant to $\mathcal{O}(e^2)$, the second that $F_{GI}[J]$ is real to $\mathcal{O}(e^2)$. We stress that $F^{(0)}_{GL}$, $F^{(1)}_{GL}$, and $F^{(2,4)}_{GL}$ are real, which is not evident at all as written in Eqs. (80), (81) and (82).

Overall we get complete agreement except for one bilinear real extra term in F_{GI}. Its origin can be traced back to the appearance of the last term of the Schroedinger equation in Eq. (49). In turn this term appears from an anomaly-like computation only after the kinetic operator has been regularized. Note that F_{GL} was obtained without regularizing the theory, working with formal expressions. Therefore, even if the final result was finite, one could have missed contributions of this kind. For the other terms of F we have got a double check, which gives us strong confidence in our result.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have computed the Yang-Mills vacuum wave functional in three dimensions at weak coupling with $\mathcal{O}(e^2)$ precision. We have used two different methods to solve the Schroedinger functional equation: (A) One of them generalizes to $\mathcal{O}(e^2)$ the method followed by Hatfield at $\mathcal{O}(e)$ [1]. We have named the result obtained $\Psi_{GL}[A]$. (B) The other uses the weak coupling version of the gauge invariant formulation of the Schroedinger equation and the ground-state wave functional followed by Karabali, Nair, and Yelnikov [2]. We have named the result obtained $\Psi_{GI}[A]$. Each method has its own strengths and weaknesses, and they are to some extent complementary.

The computations performed with method (A) are relatively simply and the results are explicitly real. The generalization to four dimensions of the $\mathcal{O}(e^2)$ computation do not present major conceptual problems. Note that this is the order at which we expect to start to see the running of the coupling constant in $D = 4$. On the other hand, such a computation has two major drawbacks. First, the implementation of the Gauss law is not done in a systematic way but partially implemented in some intermediate steps. Therefore, we cannot guarantee a priori that the final result satisfies the Gauss law. As the results rapidly grow in size and complexity, a direct check turns out to be unfeasible. Actually we were only able to check the Gauss law with the help of method (B). The major drawback however is that the computation has been performed with an unregulated kinetic operator.

Whereas all computations can formally be carried out obtaining a finite result, some terms may be missed in this way.

The computations with method (B) are somewhat more involved. Rather lengthy expressions appear when we rewrite the wave functional in terms of the gauge fields $\vec{A}$, which, moreover, look complex. Trying to prove by brute force that the result is real turns out to be impossible. Actually we only manage to prove it after a careful comparison with the result of method (A). Moreover, a possible generalization to four dimensions does not look trivial. On the other hand, method (B) is particularly appealing, as it directly works with gauge-invariant degrees of freedom. Therefore the Gauss law is automatically satisfied and it is not necessary to impose this constraint. Note also that the set of Eqs. (53), (54) can be solved recursively. Therefore, it could be possible to automatize it and obtain the wave functionals at higher order with a combination of algebraic/numeric programing. Finally and most important is that the operators in this computation have been regularized. This produced nontrivial contributions.

We have compared both results. It is very difficult to show that they are equal in a direct way. The strategy we follow helps a lot, yet it continues to be extremely complicated to show the equivalence of two given expressions. As we have already mentioned, this comparison has allowed us on the one hand to prove that Ψ_{GL} was indeed gauge invariant and on the other hand that Ψ_{GI} was real. Most interestingly the agreement between both results is almost complete except for one extra term that appears with the method (B). This term shows up from an anomaly-like computation once the theory is regularized. Such a contribution does not show up in method (A). Apparently, this is due to the fact that no regularization was used in this computation. This result is potentially very interesting because it is precisely this term that produces the mass gap and a linearly rising potential in the strong coupling limit in Ref. [9]. Therefore, it's important to understand how (and if) such a term can be generated in a regulated version of the Schroedinger formalism in terms of the gauge fields, as this contribution has not been checked with an independent method so far. However, as regularization in the Schroedinger formalism with gauge variables is, to a large extent, uncharted territory, this requires a dedicated study beyond the aim of this work. Yet, we plan to address this issue in the near future.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge discussions with D. Karabali and V.P. Nair. This work was partially supported by the Spanish grants FPA2010-16963 and FPA2011-25948, and by the Catalan grant SGR2009-00894.

---

[1] B. F. Hatfield, Phys. Lett. B **147**, 435 (1984).

[2] D. Karabali, V. P. Nair and A. Yelnikov, Nucl. Phys. B **824**, 387 (2010) [arXiv:0906.0783 [hep-th]].

[3] A. Kovner and J. G. Milhano, In *Shifman, M. (ed.) et al.: From fields to strings, vol. 1* 121-187 [hep-ph/0406165].

[4] H. S. Chan, Nucl. Phys. B **278**, 721 (1986) [Erratum-ibid. B **287**, 852 (1987)].

[5] D. Karabali and V. P. Nair, Nucl. Phys. B **464**, 135 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9510157].

[6] D. Karabali and V. P. Nair, Phys. Lett. B **379**, 141 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9602155].

[7] D. Karabali and V. P. Nair, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **12**, 1161 (1997) [hep-th/9610002].

[8] D. Karabali, C. j. Kim and V. P. Nair, Nucl. Phys. B **524**, 661 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9705087].

[9] D. Karabali, C. j. Kim and V. P. Nair, Phys. Lett. B **434**, 103 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9804132].

[10] H. Schulz, arXiv:hep-ph/0008239.

[11] J. Greensite and S. Olejnik, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 065003 (2008) [arXiv:0707.2860 [hep-lat]].

[12] J. Greensite, H. Matevosyan, S. Olejnik, M. Quandt, H. Reinhardt and A. P. Szczepaniak, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 114509 (2011) [arXiv:1102.3941 [hep-lat]].

[13] B. Hatfield, Redwood City, USA: Addison-Wesley (1992) 734 p. (Frontiers in physics, 75)